G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Ch 240—08 : 33

19

(Court)

File No. 46-10

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.

Ch 265—10.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Feb. '10.

SUBJECT.

Process ~~fees~~ memos to be on ordinary ~~cards~~ paper instead of three rie paper. (R.A. Subject No 161 of

---

Table of Contents.

1. To the Regr. Ch. Court no 496 of 13-8-10.
   Calls for the opinion of the Ch. Court on the above subject.
2. From the same officer No 966/52 of 23-8-10.
   States that for reasons given process memos may be on ordinary paper.
3. To the Regr. Ch & D.C. Mysore no 7,939-40 of 27th
   Agrees with the Ch. Court in the view set above & requests the issue of needful instructions.

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

G.R.S. 11] 190-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts.)

NOTES.

Cts File No. 46-10.

FILE HEADING.

Process memos to be on ordinary paper instead of three pie paper (C.R.A. Subject N° 141-09).

From

Member Mr. A. Ramanna, Yedatore Taluk

Subject No. 141-09. ~~Dated~~ Received 8.8.10,

Diary No. 9mis 18	Enclos.	Spare Copies	~~Serial No.~~

Summary of discussion: ~~BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.~~

(b) Process memos filed in Court for Summonses or notices to parties are now required to be on three-pie paper, while witness' lists are permitted to be written on other thick paper. There is no special reason for this. Orders may be issued that process memos need not be written on three-pie paper.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Reply of Govt:- The matter will be referred to the Chief Court.

Assistant Secretary's note in Branch "A" File:- "(b) The member refers to a real difficulty. The rule of practice

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

Serial No.	
	Form V no 5593-3602 dt 12-3-1909.
	issued by the Chief Court refers to "Applications filed for the purpose of Summoning witnesses" which apparently the Courts have held not to include applications for Summoning "parties." " Refer the matter to the Chief Court as ordered by the Govt. (Intd) S.H. 3.8.10. "xx To Branch III" Intd S.H. 5.8.10 Submitted with a draft to the Chief Court for approval. Hes SN 9.8.10 Issue 11/8/10
21	To the Registrar, Chief Court. No 496 dt 13.8.10. Calls for the opinion of the Chief Court in the matter of allowing process memos filed in Courts for Summoning parties, to be written on ordinary paper.
22	From the Same Officer, No 966/54 dt 23.8.10. State, that applications for Summoning defendants should for reasons stated, be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cts File No. 46 of 190-11.

Serial No.

also excluded from the scope of the rule prescribing the three five paper.

Submitted with a draft order for approval or for other orders—

Cts 30.8.10 31/8/10

Mag beappd 31/8/10

Sec'y 2nd C

Dr Cts 6/9/10

444 Draft appd: by 2nd Councillor 16/9/10 19.9.10.

MTJ

Issue m/cw

26/9/10

3 Rec 9-10

3 To the Registrar Chief Court

By Commr. Mysore District

No. 939-40 & 22-9-10.

Agrees with the Chief Court in the view set forth above and requests the issue of needful instructions in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

SUBJECT No. 141.

Reduction in the price of three-pie paper.

Mr. A. Ramanna, Yedatore Tk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) The paper of the Bengal Paper Mill Company is quite as good as the three-pie paper and is very much cheaper. The Government in giving a wholesale contract for the three-pie paper must necessarily get it cheaper than three pies a sheet. The price of this paper may, therefore, be reduced.

(*b*) Process memos filed in court for summonses or notices to parties are now required to be on three-pie paper, while witness' lists are permitted to be written on other thick paper. There is no special reason for this. Orders may be issued that process memos need not be written on three-pie paper.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be referred to the Chief Court.

Ruled.

(a) The Chief Court has nothing to do with the reduction of the price of the paper. Please see the notes furnished by the Branch on the subject before the discussion in the assembly wherein it is recorded that the question was fully considered before issuing the new paper and that some time must elapse before it can be stated whether the cost can be reduced.

(b) No more action seems necessary as the Chief Court have already issued needful instructions on the subject in pursuance of the G.O. No. J 2527-9 Courts 240-38-3 dt 3/3/08 [illegible] does not seem to be aware of.

The reason of the Govt refusal apparently is to perpetuation

(b) No action appears to be necessary in regard to (b).

Ch. Ct. Spec. No 5593-360 9/12/8/08

The members attention may be drawn to the R. of P. issued by the Ch. Ct

True

31/8/10

G.R.S. 26]

Confdl

C/o File No. 46/6

Serial No. 1

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

11-8-10

No. J496

General and Revenue Secretariat

(S)

, *the* 190

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,

Date of issue 13-8-10

Signed by Mr D. Ramachar.

Has the honor to invite his attention to para (b) of Subject No 141 of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly, 1909 and the reply of Govt thereto re the matter of allowing process memos filed in Court for summoning parties, to be written on ordinary paper, and to request him to be so good as to furnish Govt at an early date with the opinion of the Chief Court on the

SUBJECT. Subject B—

For Secy

D/o Secs 9/8/10

MR 12/8/10

No. 966/54

J-500

Bangalore dated, 23rd/24th August 1910.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq.,

Offg: Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No.J.496/Cts.46-10-1 dated the 13th instant in re para (b) of subject No.141 of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly 1909, I am directed to state that applications for summoning witnesses and applications for summoning defendants are on the same footing and that as the former have been held not to be within the scope of the rule prescribing the three pie paper the latter also should be excluded. If the Government approve of this view the necessary instructions will be issued to all Civil and Criminal Courts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. Rajaratnam

B.V.

REGISTRAR.

G.R.S. 28.]

Cs File No. 46-10

Serial No. 3

20/9/10

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Cs)

, the 19

Date of issue 22-9-10

Signed by Dr S. Thirumaraiya

READ—

Subject No 141, para (b), of the Proceedings of the Representative Assembly of 1909 and the reply of Govt thereto in the matter of allowing process memos

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

filed in Court for summoning parties, to be written on ordinary paper.

(2) Letter No 966/54 dated the 23rd August 1910, from the

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5-09

Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, stating that applications for summoning defendants are on the same footing as those for summoning witnesses and may also be excluded from the scope of the rule prescribing three-pie paper

Order thereon No. - J939-40/ch 46-10-3 & 22/9/40

Govt agree with the Chief Court in the view set forth above, and are pleased to exempt applications ~~for~~ filed in Court for summoning defendants, from being written on ~~the~~ three-pie paper. The Chief Court is requested to be so good as to issue the needful instructions in the matter on the lines of ~~the~~ Circular Letter No. 5593 dated 26-6-40 and the Endorsement.

DfC Jo 30/9/40

Hay 9/9/40

21/9

for Secretary

(Copy to Dasara Section)

To CV/346

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

" Dy. Commr. Mysore Distt. for Communication to the R.A. member concerned